agree to understand events-may be told in a very few words. His race is Irish on his father's side and Scotch on his mother's, to which mingled strains the generalizer may pression and dispassionate analysis which has characterized his work from the first. There are none of those early struggles with poverty, which render the lives of so many distinguished Americans monotonous reading, to record in his case: the cabin hearth-fire did not light him to the youthful pursuit of literature; he had from the start all those advantages which, when they go too far, become limitations.

1843, and his first lessons in life and letters were the best which the metropolis—so small in the perspective diminishing to that date could afford. In his twelfth year his family went abroad, and after some stay in England land. They returned to America in 1860, placing themselves at Newport, and for a year or two Mr. James was at the Harvard Law School, where, perhaps, he did not study a great deal of law. His father removed from Newport to Cambridge in 1866, and there Mr. James remained till he went abroad, three years later, for the residence in England and Italy which, with infrequent visits home, has continued ever since.

It was during these three years of his Cambridge life that I became acquainted with his work. He had already printed a tale—" The Story of a Year"—in the "Atlantic Monthly," when I was asked to be Mr. Fields's assistant in the management, and it was my fortune to read Mr. James's second contribution in manuscript. "Would you take it?" asked my chief. "Yes, and all the stories you can get from the writer." One is much securer of one's judgment at twenty-nine than, say, at forty-five; but if this was a mistake of mine I am not yet old enough to regret it. The story was called "Poor Richard," and it dealt with the conscience of a man very much in love with a woman who loved his rival. He told this rival a lie, which sent him away to his death on the field,—in that day nearly every situation was strongly and finely felt. One's see what existed through him. In other

THE events of Mr. James's life—as we pity went, as it should, with the liar; but the whole story had a pathos which lingers in my mind equally with a sense of the new literary qualities which gave me such delight in it. I admired, as we must in all that Mr. James attribute, if he likes, that union of vivid ex- has written, the finished workmanship in which there is no loss of vigor; the luminous and uncommon use of words, the originality of phrase, the whole clear and beautiful style, which I confess I weakly liked the better for the occasional gallicisms remaining from an inveterate habit of French. Those who know the writings of Mr. Henry James will recognize the inherited felicity of diction which is so striking in the writings of Mr. Henry James, Jr. The son's diction is not so He was born in New York city in the year racy as the father's; it lacks its daring, but it is as fortunate and graphic; and I cannot give it greater praise than this, though it has, when he will, a splendor and state which is wholly its own.

Mr. James is now so universally recognized made a long sojourn in France and Switzer- that I shall seem to be making an unwarrantable claim when I express my belief that the popularity of his stories was once largely confined to Mr. Fields's assistant. They had characteristics which forbade any editor to refuse them; and there are no anecdotes of thrice-rejected manuscripts finally printed to tell of him; his work was at once successful with all the magazines. But with the readers of "The Atlantic," of "Harper's," of "Lippincott's," of "The Galaxy," of "The Century," it was another affair. The flavor was so strange, that, with rare exceptions, they had to "learn to like" it. Probably few writers have in the same degree compelled the liking of their readers. He was reluctantly accepted, partly through a mistake as to his attitude-through the confusion of his point of view with his private opinion—in the reader's mind. This confusion caused the tears of rage which bedewed our continent in behalf of the "average American girl" supposed to be satirized in Daisy Miller, and prevented the perception of the fact that, so far as the average American girl was studied at all in Daisy Miller, her indestructible innocence, her invulnerable new-worldliness, had never been so delicately appreciated. It was so plain that Mr. James disliked her vulgar confictitious personage had something to do with ditions, that the very people to whom he rethe war,—but Poor Richard's lie did not win vealed her essential sweetness and light were him his love. It still seems to me that the furious that he should have seemed not to

tle more confidential.

But that artistic impartiality which puzzled so many in the treatment of Daisy Miller is one of the qualities most valuable in the eyes of those who care how things are done, and I am not sure that it is not Mr. James's most characteristic quality. As "frost performs the effect of fire," this impartiality comes at last to the same result as sympathy. We may be quite sure that Mr. James does not like the peculiar phase of our civilization typified in Henrietta Stackpole; but he treats her with such exquisite justice that he lets us like her. It is an extreme case, but I confidently allege

it in proof. His impartiality is part of the reserve with which he works in most respects, and which at first glance makes us say that he is wanting in humor. But I feel pretty certain that Mr. James has not been able to disinherit himself to this degree. We Americans are terribly in earnest about making ourselves, individually and collectively; but I fancy dicated that we have, as a nation, as a peohim with a relief in the comparative immunity that he affords from the national facetiousness. Many of his people are humorously imagined, or rather humorously seen, like Daisy Miller's mother, but these do not give a dominant color; the business in hand is Mr. Tristram in "The American," the billstory, the amusing little Madame de Belgarde, Henrietta Stackpole, and even Newman himself. But though Mr. James portrays the hu-

others, chief among which is the motive for a mistaken ideal in their marriages; but,

both in the joke.

words, they would have liked him better if he reading fiction. By example, at least, he had been a worse artist-if he had been a lit- teaches that it is the pursuit and not the end which should give us pleasure; for he often prefers to leave us to our own conjectures in regard to the fate of the people in whom he has interested us. There is no question, of course, but he could tell the story of Isabel in "The Portrait of a Lady" to the end, yet he does not tell it. We must agree, then, to take what seems a fragment instead of a whole, and to find, when we can, a name for this new kind in fiction. Evidently it is the character, not the fate, of his people which occupies him; when he has fully developed their character he leaves them to

what destiny the reader pleases.

The analytic tendency seems to have increased with him as his work has gone on. Some of the earlier tales were very dramatic: "A Passionate Pilgrim," which I should rank above all his other short stories, and for certain rich poetical qualities, above everything else that he has done, is eminently dramatic. But I do not find much that I should call dramatic in "The Portrait of a Lady," while I do find in that our prevailing mood in the face of all it an amount of analysis which I should call problems is that of an abiding faith which superabundance if it were not all such good can afford to be funny. He has himself in- literature. The novelist's main business is to possess his reader with a due conception of ple, our joke, and every one of us is in the his characters and the situations in which they joke more or less. We may, some of us, dislike find themselves. If he does more or less than it extremely, disapprove it wholly, and even this he equally fails. I have sometimes abhor it, but we are in the joke all the same, thought that Mr. James's danger was to do and no one of us is safe from becoming more, but when I have been ready to declare the great American humorist at any given this excess an error of his method I have moment. The danger is not apparent in hesitated. Could anything be superfluous Mr. James's case, and I confess that I read that had given me so much pleasure as I read? Certainly from only one point of view, and this a rather narrow, technical one. It seems to me that an enlightened criticism will recognize in Mr. James's fiction a metaphysical genius working to æsthetic results, and will not be disposed to deny it any commonly serious, and the droll people are method it chooses to employ. No other subordinated. They abound, nevertheless, and novelist, except George Eliot, has dealt so many of them are perfectly new finds, like largely in analysis of motive, has so fully explained and commented upon the springs of paying father in the "Pension Beaurepas," the action in the persons of the drama, both beanxiously Europeanizing mother in the same fore and after the facts. These novelists are more alike than any others in their processes, but with George Eliot an ethical purpose is dominant, and with Mr. James an artistic morous in character, he is decidedly not on purpose. I do not know just how it should be humorous terms with his reader; he ignores stated of two such noble and generous types rather than recognizes the fact that they are of character as Dorothea and Isabel Archer, but I think that we sympathize with the If we take him at all we must take him former in grand aims that chiefly concern on his own ground, for clearly he will not others, and with the latter in beautiful dreams come to ours. We must make concessions that primarily concern herself. Both are unto him, not in this respect only, but in several selfish and devoted women, sublimely true to though they come to this common martyr- manly and simple gentleman in any event. most nobly imagined and the most nobly intentioned women in modern fiction; and I think Isabel is the more subtly divined of the two. If we speak of mere characterization, we must not fail to acknowledge the perfection of Gilbert Osmond. It was a profound stroke to make him an American by birth. No European could realize so fully in his own life the ideal of a European dilettante in all the meaning of that cheapened word; as no European could so deeply and tenderly feel the sweetness and loveliness of the English past as the sick American, Searle, in "The

Passionate Pilgrim."

What is called the international novel is popularly dated from the publication of "Daisy Miller," though "Roderick Hudson" and "The American" had gone before; but it really began in the beautiful story which I have just named. Mr. James, who invented this species in fiction, first contrasted in the "Passionate Pilgrim" the New World and Old World moods, ideals, and prejudices, and he did it there with a richness of poetic effect which he has since never equalled. I own that I regret the loss of the poetry, but you cannot ask a man to keep on being a poet for you; it is hardly for him to choose; yet I compare rather discontentedly in my own mind such impassioned creations as Searle and the painter in "The Madonna of the Future" with "Daisy Miller," of whose slight, thin personality I also feel the indefinable charm, and of the tragedy of whose innocence I recognize the delicate pathos. Looking back to those early stories, where Mr. James stood at the dividing ways of the novel and the romance, I am sometimes sorry that he declared even superficially for the former. His best efforts seem to me those of romance; his best types have an ideal development, like Isabel and Claire Belgarde and Bessy Alden and poor Daisy and even Newman. But, doubtless, he has chosen wisely; perhaps the romance is an outworn form, and would not lend itself to the reof such people as Lord Warburton and the Touchetts, whom I take to be all decidedly lish nobleman, who amiably accepts the exist- himself; it weakened the effect of this, with and social change, and insists not at all upon whole, however, Newman is an adequate and the surviving feudalities, but means to be a satisfying representative of Americanism, with

dom, the original difference in them remains. An American is not able to pronounce as to Isabel has her great weaknesses, as Dorothea the verity of the type; I only know that it had, but these seem to me, on the whole, the seems probable and that it is charming. It makes one wish that it were in Mr. James's way to paint in some story the present phase of change in England. A titled personage is still mainly an inconceivable being to us; he is like a goblin or a fairy in a storybook. How does he comport himself in the face of all the changes and modifications that have taken place and that still impend? We can hardly imagine a lord taking his nobility seriously; it is some hint of the conditional frame of Lord Warburton's mind that makes

him imaginable and delightful to us.

It is not my purpose here to review any of Mr. James's books; I like better to speak of his people than of the conduct of his novels, and I wish to recognize the fineness with which he has touched-in the pretty primness of Osmond's daughter and the mild devotedness of Mr. Rosier. A masterly hand is as often manifest in the treatment of such subordinate figures as in that of the principal persons, and Mr. James does them unerringly. This is felt in the more important character of Valentin Belgarde, a fascinating character in spite of its defects,-perhaps on account of them—and a sort of French Lord Warburton, but wittier, and not so good. "These are my ideas," says his sister-in-law, at the end of a number of inanities. "Ah, you call them ideas!" he returns, which is delicious and makes you love him. He, too, has his moments of misgiving, apparently in regard to his nobility, and his acceptance of Newman on the basis of something like "manhood suffrage" is very charming. It is of course difficult for a remote plebeian to verify the pictures of legitimist society in "The American," but there is the probable suggestion in them of conditions and principles, and want of principles, of which we get glimpses in our travels abroad; at any rate, they reveal another and not impossible world, and it is fine to have Newman discover that the opinions and criticisms of our world are so absolutely valueless in that sphere that his knowledge of the infamous crime of the mother and brother of production of even the ideality of modern his betrothed will have no effect whatever life. I myself waver somewhat in my prefupon them in their own circle if he explodes erence—if it is a preference—when I think it there. This seems like aristocracy indeed! and one admires, almost respects, its survival in our day. But I always regretted that of this world. The first of these especially Newman's discovery seemed the precursor of interested me as a probable type of the Eng- his magnanimous resolution not to avenge ing situation with all its possibilities of political which it had really nothing to do. Upon the

to please if we are not pleased with him. He is not the "cultivated American" who redeems us from time to time in the eyes of Europe; but he is unquestionably more national, and it is observable that his unaffected fellow-countrymen and women fare very well at Mr. James's hands always; it is the Europeanizing sort like the critical little Bostonian in the "Bundle of Letters," the ladies shocked at Daisy Miller, the mother in the "Pension Beaurepas" who goes about trying to be of the "native" world everywhere, Madame Merle and Gilbert Osmond, Miss Light and her mother, who have reason to complain, if any one has. Doubtless Mr. James does not mean to satirize such Americans, but it is interesting to note how they strike such a keen observer. We are certainly not allowed to like them, and the other sort find somehow a place in our affections along with his good Europeans. It is a little odd, by the way, that in all the printed talk about Mr. James—and there has been no end of it-his power of engaging your preference for certain of his people has been so little commented on. Perhaps it is because he makes no obvious appeal for them; but one likes such men as Lord Warburton, Newman, Valentin, the artistic brother in "The Europeans," and Ralph Touchett, and such women as Isabel, Claire Belgarde, Mrs. Tristram, and certain others, with a thoroughness that is one of the best testimonies to their vitality. This comes about through their own qualities, and is not affected by insinuation or by downright petting, such as we find in Dickens nearly always and in Thackeray too

The art of fiction has, in fact, become a finer art in our day than it was with Dickens and Thackeray. We could not suffer the confidential attitude of the latter now, nor the mannerism of the former, any more than we could endure the prolixity of Richardson or the coarseness of Fielding. These great men are of the past-they and their methods and interests; even Trollope and Reade are not of the present. The new school derives from Hawthorne and George Eliot rather than any others; but it studies human nature much more in its wonted aspects, and finds its ethical and dramatic examples in the operation of lighter but not really less vital motives. The moving accident is certainly not its trade; and it prefers to avoid all manner of dire catastrophes. It is largely influenced by

his generous matrimonial ambition, his vast that prevails with it, and it has a soul of its good-nature, and his thorough good sense own which is above the business of recording and right feeling. We must be very hard the rather brutish pursuit of a woman by a man, which seems to be the chief end of the French novelist. This school, which is so largely of the future as well as the present, finds its chief exemplar in Mr. James; it is he who is shaping and directing American fiction, at least. It is the ambition of the younger contributors to write like him; he has his following more distinctly recognizable than that of any other English-writing novelist. Whether he will so far control this following as to decide the nature of the novel with us remains to be seen. Will the reader be content to accept a novel which is an analytic study rather than a story, which is apt to leave him arbiter of the destiny of the author's creations? Will he find his account in the unflagging interest of their development? Mr. James's growing popularity seems to suggest that this may be the case; but the work of Mr. James's imitators will have much to do with the final result.

In the meantime it is not surprising that he has his imitators. Whatever exceptions we take to his methods or his results, we cannot deny him a very great literary genius. To me there is a perpetual delight in his way of saying things, and I cannot wonder that younger men try to catch the trick of it. The disappointing thing for them is that it is not a trick, but an inherent virtue. His style is, upon the whole, better than that of any other novelist I know; it is always easy, without being trivial, and it is often stately, without being stiff; it gives a charm to everything he writes; and he has written so much and in such various directions, that we should be judging him very incompletely if we considered him only as a novelist. His book of European sketches must rank him with the most enlightened and agreeable travelers; and it might be fitly supplemented from his uncollected papers with a volume of American sketches. In his essays on modern French writers he indicates his critical range and grasp; but he scarcely does more, as his criticisms in "The Atlantic" and "The Nation" and elsewhere could abundantly testify.

There are indeed those who insist that criticism is his true vocation, and are impatient of his devotion to fiction; but I suspect that these admirers are mistaken. A novelist he is not, after the old fashion, or after any fashion but his own; yet since he has finally made his public in his own way of storytelling—or call it character-painting if you prefer,-it must be conceded that he has chosen French fiction in form; but it is the realism best for himself and his readers in choosing of Daudet rather than the realism of Zola the form of fiction for what he has to say. It is,

after all, what a writer has to say rather than ficiently large-minded for this. I own that I what he has to tell that we care for now- like a finished story; but then also I like adays. In one manner or other the stories those which Mr. James seems not to finish. were all told long ago; and now we want This is probably the position of most of his merely to know what the novelist thinks about readers, who cannot very logically account persons and situations. Mr. James gratifies for either preference. We can only make this philosophic desire. If he sometimes for- sure that we have here an annalist, or analyst, bears to tell us what he thinks of the last as we choose, who fascinates us from his first state of his people, it is perhaps because that page to his last, whose narrative or whose does not interest him, and a large-minded comment may enter into any minuteness of criticism might well insist that it was childish detail without fatiguing us, and can only to demand that it must interest him.

I am not sure that my criticism is suf-

truly grieve us when it ceases.

W. D. Howells.

FAITH'S FORTITUDE.

WITH but a sail and bank of fragile oars, And only stars to guide their aimless aim, The ancient Northmen crossed the seas, and came Triumphant to our sunny unknown shores. It was the legends of these dauntless rowers-Vague legends, giving no man place or name— Which kindled in Columbus' breast, like flame, His dream of western lands of boundless stores. Such ocean lies around our little life, Trackless, and deeper than our fathoms run; We, brave, launch out, and steer by sails or sun: Of fiercest storms we take the brunt and strife; To later voyagers our wrecks are rife With good, long after all our pain is done.

The ignorant Sepoy soldiers, when they saw The pontoon bridges tossing frail and light Upon deep waters rushing swift and white, Marched on them, tranquil, with no doubting awe: Their faith and fine obedience had no flaw. But, halting, terror-stricken at the sight, The elephants, immovable from fright, Refused to cross. By dull material law Their clumsy instinct reckoned and was bound. They would not trust what they had never tried. So faith, to calm obedience allied, Transports our souls triumphant over ground Where reason halts; across abysses wide And deep, which reason cannot span nor sound.

Our selfish hearts rebel and chafe at this, And take a specious refuge in pretense Of comprehending God's omnipotence. Our one sure safety we reject and miss, When once we make our good the test of His. His final ends surpass our feeble sense; His plan is greater than our preference; Who told us we had any right to bliss? Our tears are but our arrogant conceit. Two things that grow and yield the sweetest sweet, The lofty cocoa-palm, and sugar-cane, As well on waters salt as on fresh rain Will thrive, and in their sap and fruit complete, No lurking taste of bitter will remain.



St. fames